

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/724,426 | 11/26/2003 | Johnny Zhong | 15436.135.1 1047 | |
| 75 | 90 09/20/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| R. BURNS ISRAELSEN | | | WONG, ERIC K | |
| WORKMAN NYDEGGER 1000 Eagle Gate Towe | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 60 East South Temple | | | 2883 | |
| Salt Lake City, UT 84111 | | | DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/724,426 | ZHONG ET AL. | On | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Eric Wong | 2883 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addre | ss | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this commt D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju | ıne 2005. | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| • — | | | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | • | | | | |
| 4)🖾 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11,13-21,23 and 24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☐ Claim(s) 6-11,13-21,23 and 24 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| · · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | · | | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | · . | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗍 : | The specification is objected to by the Examine | ſ. | | · | | | | |
| • | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | • , | • • | l.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | (| | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Sta | ge | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | • • | ر. ۱ المامه الم | (BTO 412) | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | 2) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/724,426 Page 2

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 6-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 6,781,022 to Xu.

Xu discloses in figures 6, 16A-B, and 19A-B, an optical add/drop module for adding or dropping one or more channels from a wavelength division multiplexed (WDM) signal, the optical add/drop module comprising:

- A drop portion comprising a plurality of thin film filters, wherein each thin film filter
 drops a particular channel from a WDM signal;
- An add portion that adds channels of the WDM signal dropped by the drop portion back to the WDM signal, wherein the add portion comprises:
 - A first stage of interleavers (Mach-Zehnder interferometers), wherein each interleaver in the first stage is a fused-fiber interleaver; and

Application/Control Number: 10/724,426 Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

o A final stage including a thin film interleaver, wherein the thin film interleaver has a flat-top frequency response (column 12, lines 9-26).

As to claims 7-8, an add/drop module with a thin-film interferometer would inherently be able to add or drop particular channels.

As to claim 9, light is reflected and transmitted in multiple vectors.

As to claim 10, multiple channels are used.

As to claim 11, flat-top frequency response is optimized.

As to claim 13, channel isolation and suppressed crosstalk is achieved.

As to claim 14, optical spacers are used to construct the WDM interleaver.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15-21, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu as applied to claims above, and further in view of applicant's disclosure of prior art.

Xu discloses a thin film based add/drop optical module using interleavers, but fails to explicitly disclose the method of Coarse Wavelength Division Multiplexing (CWDM).

Applicant discloses in the background of the invention that WDM, DWDM and CWDM are commonly used in the art of multiplexing in order to increase bandwidth using multiple interleavers.

Art Unit: 2883

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the WDM of Xu to allow for use with a CWDM signal disclosed by applicant as a matter of obvious design choice based on its intended use and to increase bandwidth for the module of Xu.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-2363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EW

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank & Font